

[3rd September 1928]

Revenue Member should say that he has much to say which he cannot say now. I would request you, Sir, to call the attention of the hon. the Revenue Member why he should not answer on clauses (a) and (b)."

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The hon. Member may draw my attention to this after the questions are over."

11-30
a.m.

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—"May I know from the hon. the Revenue Member whether his attention has been drawn to part (a) definitely and whether his attention has been drawn to part (b) definitely?"

* The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—"Yes, Sir; the questions were understood to refer to the hon. Member's Bill on the subject."

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—"May I know from the hon. the Revenue Member whether his attention has been drawn to the sequence of clauses (a), (b) and (c)?"

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—"I have followed the sequence very carefully, Sir."

Progress of the Tippayapalaiyam project

* 13 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any further steps have been taken in regard to the pushing on of the Tippayapalaiyam project since August 1927—vide answer to question No. 334, dated 27th August 1927; and

(b) when it is likely that the project will be taken in hand for full execution?

A.—(a) The Board of Revenue and the Chief Engineer for Irrigation submitted their reports in June last. From their reports it appears that the scheme may be estimated to yield a return of nearly 4 per cent. Certain items of cost were not however taken into account and an estimate under these heads has been called for and is awaited.

(b) Having regard to what has been stated in answer to clause (a) the Government are at present unable to reply to this question.

Reinvestment of the Gajuladinne project.

* 14 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether the Government have ordered the re-investigation of the Gajuladinne (Hindri) project and the Krishnapuram tank project both in the Pattikonda taluk of the Kurnool district which were ordered to lie over years ago pending the consideration of the bigger Tungabhadra project?

A.—The answer is in the negative.

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—"May I know whether the Government have any intention of reinvestigating the projects mentioned in my question?"